

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60276 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-595 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

1. Tribhuvan Kuwar @ Tribhuvan Kumar S/o- Late Ram Prasad Kuwar Village- Karihon Po- Bishanpur Bejha Ps- Mahua Dist- Vaishali at Hajipur
2. Randhir Kuwar @ Randhir Kumar S/o- Late Ram Prasad Kuwar Village- Karihon Po- Bishanpur Bejha Ps- Mahua Dist- Vaishali at Hajipur
3. Sonam Kumari @ Sonam Kumar D/o- Tribhuvan Kuwar @ Tribhuvan Kumar Village- Karihon Po- Bishanpur Bejha Ps- Mahua Dist- Vaishali at Hajipur
4. Vimal Devi @ Vimla Devi W/o- Triloki Kuwar @ Triloki Kumar Village- Karihon Po- Bishanpur Bejha Ps- Mahua Dist- Vaishali at Hajipur
5. Asha Devi W/o- Tribhuvan Kuwar @ Tribhuvan Kumar Village- Karihon Po- Bishanpur Bejha Ps- Mahua Dist- Vaishali at Hajipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhananjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Rina Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case, registered for offence punishable under Sections 326(g), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, all the F.I.R. named accused set the house of the informant on fire and damaged the property of 15-20 lakhs. Some of the petitioners are also accused in earlier case lodged by the informant.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case due to previous enmity.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submits that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that they set the house of informant on fire damaging the property of 15-20 lakhs. Petitioner Nos. 2 and 4 have got one criminal antecedent each, Petitioner Nos. 3 and 5 have got two criminal antecedents each and Petitioner No. 1 has got three criminal antecedents.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and criminal antecedents of the petitioners and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

