

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59212 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-491 Year-2025 Thana- DIGHA District- Patna

=====

Sudhir Manjhi @ Sudhir Kumar S/o Kedar Manjhi Resident of Atal Path,
Digha Musahari, PS- Digha, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Akhauri Kamal Kishore Sahay, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Veena Kumari Jaiswal, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 23-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Digha P.S. Case No. 491 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise
Act, 2016.

3. Allegation is of recovery of 61 litres of country
made liquor from roadside.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated
in the case due to local village politics. He has no concern either
with the seized liquor or trade of liquor in any manner. The
place of recovery is an open place which is accessible to
anyone. The petitioner has clean antecedent.



5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties and the nature of allegation against the petitioner and the fact that the place of recovery is an open place which is accessible to anyone, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District Court where the case is pending in connection with Digba P.S. Case No. 491 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para- 3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

