

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2865 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11009 of 2025

=====

Nesar Ahmad son of Md Daud, Resident of-Karamganj Road, Near Mosque, Kherajpur, District- Darbhanga, Bihar, presently working as Legal cum Probation Officer, District Child Protection Unit, Darbhanga under the Mission Vatsalya Scheme (previously ICPS)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Shri Amrit Lal Meena, The State of Bihar, through its Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna - 800015.
2. Smt. Bandana Preyashi, The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna 800015.
3. Shri Yogesh Kumar Sagar, The Director, Social Welfare cum State Child Protection Committee, Bihar, Patna - 800015.
4. Shri Animesh Kumar Charndra, The Assistant Director, District Child Protection Unit, Darbhanga.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Sharma, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Standing Counsel 24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-12-2025 Heard the parties.

2. Though the matter is placed under the heading “For Orders on Office Notes” for removal of the defect, however, the learned Advocate for the State referring to the show-cause affidavit apprised to this Court that in compliance with the order of this Court dated 16.07.2025, the Director, Social Welfare-cum-Vice Chairman, Child Protection Committee, Bihar, Patna after giving opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner has passed a reasoned and speaking order, taking into consideration



the discussion made in the order aforenoted.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner though refuted the contention and submitted that the order passed by the Director, Social Welfare-cum-Vice Chairman, Child Protection Committee, Bihar, Patna is not in spirit with the order of this Court dated 16.07.2025 and various aspects have not been looked into.

4. Be that as it may, in compliance with the order of this Court, a reasoned and speaking order has been passed and if the petitioner has still any grievance, he is at liberty to challenge the same.

5. The present contempt petition stands closed with the liberty aforesaid.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

