

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58773 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-296 Year-2024 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Vinod Yadav @ Vinod Kumar Yadav S/o Late Sadanand Yadav R/o Village-
Fatehpur, Ward No. 21, P.S.- Narpatganj, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun , Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 01-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 296 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Sections 341, 323, 324, 325, 307, 379, 504, 506, 34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier, vide order dated 21.04.2025 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 76661 of 2024, the prayer of the petitioner for grant
of anticipatory bail was rejected by a coordinate Bench of this
Court.

4. There is an allegation in the FIR against as many as
twenty-one persons of having formed an unlawful assembly and
assaulting the informant and his family members.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that there is a delay of two days in lodging the FIR without any plausible explanation, which in itself, raises doubt over the prosecution story. Learned counsel further submitted that as per FIR, the petitioner along with one Jai Kumar Yadav, assaulted the informant by means of *lathi-danda*. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 20.06.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Narpatganj P.S.
Case No. 296 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of
the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date
fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two
consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the
bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

