

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60259 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-211 Year-2025 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

Vijay Bind @ Vijay Vind R/O Vill- Baiju Bind Resident of Village- Korma,
P.S.- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satish Chandra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Braj Kishore Pd.(App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 10-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and 109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under section 25(9) and 27 of the Arms Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 15.05.2025 is a person with clean antecedent and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that in the marriage ceremony of the daughter of the petitioner a dance programme was organized, further in the night when the dance was being performed, the informant at



10:00 P.M. got an information that some miscreants have opened fire causing firearm injury to his son as well as one minor villager, accordingly, the informant reached at the place of occurrence and brought his son to Ekangarsarai hospital from where he was referred to PMCH, Patna, but the son of the informant during course of treatment, died. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that from perusal of the alleged in the F.I.R., it manifests that the informant alleges that the occurrence took place in the marriage ceremony of the daughter of the petitioner. It is next submitted that it is not the case of the prosecution that it was the petitioner who was firing which led to the occurrence. It is also submitted that during course of the investigation it has come that it was not the petitioner who fired leading to the occurrence, rather during course of investigation, the name of Vimlesh, Mani Bhushan Yadav and Dabla @ Pradumn had transpired that they indulged in firing. It is further submitted that petitioner is in custody since 15.05.2025 and charge-sheet has been submitted as such no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in jail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submission made by learned



counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Ghoshi P.S. Case No. 211 of 2025.

7. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that the petitioner after his release is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of the charge is trying to delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

