

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.179 of 2021
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3778 of 2021

-
-
1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Main Secretariat, Patna.
 2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Main Secretariat, Patna.
 3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna
 4. The Director, Directorate of Industries, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Giridhar Gopal Singh Son of Late Ram Nandan Singh Resident of Ramjhari Sadan, Lauwan Kala, P.S. Baniyapur, District- Saran, Presently residing at E- 12, P.C. Colony, Kankarbagh, P.S. Kankarbagh, District- Patna.
2. Prashant Kumar Son of Late Rajendra Prasad Singh Resident of Sarmera Kothi, Jamal Road, P.S. Gandhi Maidan, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shiv Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 03-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for review of the order dated 25.03.2021 passed in C.W.J.C. No.3778 of 2021 (Giridhar Gopal Singh and Another Vs. The State of Bihar and others) by which the writ application was allowed, and a direction was issued to extend the benefit to the original writ petitioners-respondents herein in the light of judgement rendered in L.P.A. No. 716 of 2017 dated 12.12.2017 (Page 126 of the writ application) read with the Resolution No. 4691 dated



14.09.2020 (Page 146 of the writ application).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order dated 25.03.2021 passed in CWJC No. 3778 of 2021 is without appreciation of facts on record. He further submits that the writ Court disposed of the writ petition relying on the judgment dated 12.12.2017 passed in LPA No. 716 of 2017 and directed the respondents to follow the judgment of the Division Bench as well as resolution dated 14.09.2020 and extend the benefits to the petitioners. The submission is that judgment of the Division Bench is not applicable in the present case and therefore, this review application may be allowed.

4. On perusal of the impugned order dated 25.3.2021 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 3378 of 2021, this Court does not find any infirmity in the order passed by the Writ Court. The order has been passed following the judgment of the Division Bench and nothing has been brought forward to show how it is not applicable in the present case. The petitioners have not made out any fresh ground to review the order passed by the Writ Court.

5. Accordingly, the review application stands dismissed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

