

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72404 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-187 Year-2024 Thana- KARAHGAR District- Rohtas

Ramesh Ram, Son of Laxman Ram R/o Village- Sarodih, P.S.- Karaghar,
Dist.- Rohtas, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif
Mr. Rajani Kant Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 22-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341,323,307 and 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of one case. It is further submitted that petitioner and the informant are own brother and on account of dispute relating to property, the present occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is further submitted that petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the daughter of the informant by lathi causing injury on nose. It is further submitted that the date of



occurrence is 03.06.2024 and the FIR came to be instituted on 28.06.2024 and that too, based on a written application. It is further submitted that no doubt the informant has tried to explain the delay by alleging that the injured was taken to Sasaram Trauma Centre, from there she was referred to a higher Centre at Varanasi.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the informant does not disclose the date of discharge of the victim from the hospital when the FIR was instituted after treatment was over. It is thus submitted that by way of after thought, the petitioner has been implicated in the instant case or else the fard-bayan of the informant or the injured would have been recorded at Sasaram Trauma Centre.

5. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that on which date the victim was discharged from the hospital.

6. On query of the Court from the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant as to when the victim was discharged, the learned counsel submits that he has instruction on the said issue.



7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-13, Sasaram, Rohtas in connection with Karaghar P. S. Case No.187 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

