

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62262 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-119 Year-2022 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

=====

Pramod Kumar Yadav @ Pramod Kumar Son of Sadhu Yadav Resident of
Village - Ghutiya, P.S. - Jhajha, District - Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amar Prakash, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Meena Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 12-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs.
Meena Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 366/34 of the IPC.

3. The allegation in the First Information Report is
that the daughter of the informant aged about 20 years was
kidnapped by one Dablu Kumar Yadav in connivance with the
present petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it
would be apparent from the first information report itself that
the thrust of the allegation is against Dablu Kumar Yadav, who
was the neighbour of the informant, who allured the victim into
a love affair and eloped with her. As far as the petitioner is
concerned, the only allegation against him is that he being an



acquaintance of the said Dablu Kumar Yadav has also aided him in eloping with the victim. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation, wife of the petitioner gave an application to the police authorities that the victim girl who was in a love relationship with the main accused Dablu Kumar Yadav is already married to him with children and is staying along with him at Chennai. It also appears from paragraph 167 of the case diary that the mother of the main accused Dablu Kumar Yadav also seems to have accepted this fact that her son was staying along with the victim at Chennai as husband and wife. Learned counsel submits that the present petitioner with no fault of his is languishing in custody since 01.06.2025 and charge sheet has already been submitted.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering the fact that the trust of the allegation is against Dablu Kumar Yadav and the petitioner is in custody since 01.06.2025 with no criminal antecedent and the charge sheet also has already been submitted against him, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the



like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jammui in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 119 of 2022, subject to the following conditions:- (i) one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father (ii) the petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and would appear on each and every date and in case of non-appearance on two consecutive dates without sufficient cause, his bail bond shall stand cancelled (iii) The petitioner, after being released on bail, shall continue to assist the investigating agency in recovering the victim.

7. The Superintendent of Police Jammui is directed to personally look into the investigation of this case and enquire as to why the victim girl has not been recovered and the other accused has not been brought to books although there are enough material collected in the investigation itself that they are staying at Chennai.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

