

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60118 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2025 Thana- BHAGWANGANJ District- Patna

Ranjit Kumar S/O Krishna Singh R/O Vill.- Gafurichak, P.S.- Bhagwanganj,
Dist.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Dr. (Mr.) Kamal Deo Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Prasad Nat, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2),
238b and 3(5) of the BNS as well as Sections 3 and 4 of the
Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that his daughter was married to Raushan Kumar on
14.05.2022 and out of the wedlock a girl child was born. After
the birth of the girl child, the accused persons including the
petitioner started demanding Rs.3 lakhs so that Raushan Kumar
can start a business. On account of non-fulfillment of the dowry
demand, the victim was mentally and physically tortured.
Further, on 22.02.2025, the Samadhi of the informant informed



the informant on his mobile that his daughter died on account of illness. Accordingly, the informant reached the matrimonial home of his daughter where he came to know that his daughter was murdered and the body was cremated.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case being the brother of the husband of the deceased by the informant. It is further submitted that the victim committed suicide on account of dispute with her husband. It is next submitted that whenever any occurrence of the nature as alleged takes place, the entire family members in a mechanical manner are implicated. It is also submitted that the entire allegation hinges around suspicion as the informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence and the allegation of demand of dowry and torture is general and omnibus in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. It is further submitted that what is not in dispute rather stands admitted in view of the allegation as alleged in the FIR is that the daughter of the informant, who was married to Raushan Kumar, died but whether the death was suicidal or homicidal could have been ascertained only if postmortem of the dead body would have



been carried out. It is next submitted that if the family members were not involved in the occurrence in that event they would not have disposed of the dead body rather the dead body would have been sent for postmortem for ascertaining the cause of death. It is also submitted that it is not possible for the husband to singly cremate the dead body of the victim. It is submitted that investigation of the case is in its nascent stages.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Bhagwanganj P.S. Case No. 33 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Masaurhi/Successor Court.

7. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

