

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61512 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-104 Year-1998 Thana- SURYAGARHA District- Lakhisarai

Sanjay Singh @ Navin Singh S/O Bisheshwar Singh R/O Village- Salempur,
P.S.- Manikpur, District- Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms .Ankita Kumari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Gauri Shankar Gupta, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Surajgarha P.S. Case No. 104 of 98 dated 13.05.1998 instituted for the offence punishable under Sections 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that on the alleged date of occurrence, the petitioner and other two accused persons came to the jewellery shop of the informant and on the point of pistol, they looted about 10-11 kg. of silver kept in the almirah along with Rs. 65,000/- cash. It is further alleged that earlier they used to loot the shopkeepers in the market.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this



case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner was not there at the place of occurrence. Later he filed surrender cum bail application before the learned court below where he has been taken into custody. It is further submitted that the petitioner resides outside the state since more than 20 years and is a labour, who works there for his livelihood. No incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 27.03.2025 having 8 criminal cases against him. Charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. From perusal of the records, it is clear that the present F.I.R is of the year 1998 and this application has been filed in 2025 i.e. after 27 years. In the meantime, the petitioner never surrendered before the court concerned. The processes of Section 82 & 83 Cr.P.C. has already been issued against the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner has no respect for law. It is also apparent from paragraph no. 3 of bail application that the petitioner is accused in 8 cases of similar nature.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.



8. Accordingly the prayer for regular bail on behalf of the petitioner stands rejected.

9. The learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and take all endeavour to conclude the trial at the earliest, preferably, within a period of one (1) year from the date of receipt or production of a copy of this order.

(Khatim Reza, J)

premchand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

