

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2923 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10853 of 2023

-
1. M/s Al Kareem Agro Industries @ Alkareem Agro Industries LLP and Anr. through its Authorized Signatory, Rajiv Kumar Tiwari @ Rajiv Tewari, having registered office at 392-D, Basant Vihar, Kanpur-208021.
 2. Rajiv Kumar Tiwari @ Rajiv Tewari, Son of Sri Kaushal Kishore Tiwari, resident of Plot U-41, Khasra No. 339, UG/F Block-U, Subhash Park, D.K. Mohan Garden, P.S.-Uttam Nagar, West Delhi, Delhi-110059.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Sandeep Poundrik the Principal Secretary Department of Industries Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Pankaj Dixit, the Director Industries, Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Vivek Ranjan Maitrey, the Director, Directorate of Technical Development, Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Ravi Prakash, the Director, Directorate of Food Processing, Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Binay Kumar Mallik, the Deputy Director, Directorate of Food Processing, Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. Mr. Praveer Kumar Sinha, the General Manager, District Industrial Centre, Siwan.
7. Mr. Saravanan M. the Commissioner, Saran Division, Chapra.
8. Mr. Mukul Kumar Gupta, the Collector, District Siwan.
9. Mr. Sunil Kumar, the District Certificate Officer, District Siwan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Additional Advocate General 13.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

3 07-01-2025 This Court vide order dated 18.10.2023 passed in
CWJC No. 10853 of 2023 had directed the Respondent No. 9
therein i.e. the Opposite Party No. 9 herein to pass necessary



orders duly taking into consideration the objections filed by the petitioners and also giving them an opportunity of hearing.

2. It is the case of the petitioners that without giving any opportunity of hearing the Opposite Party No. 9 has passed the orders. Learned counsel has stated that the impugned order dated 21.10.2024 may be set aside and the Opposite Party No. 9 may be directed to give them an opportunity of hearing and then pass orders afresh.

3. Having regard to the fact that the power vested with this Court under the Contempt of Court Act are very limited, the present contempt petition is closed granting liberty to the petitioners to challenge the order dated 21.10.2024, if he is aggrieved by the said order.

4. With the above observations, the present contempt petition stands closed.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

shakir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

