

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58107 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2025 Thana- BARIYARPUR District- Munger

Rajeev Kumar @ Bilo S/o Bheem Singh R/o vill - Sitarampur, Naujira
Budhiya Kaliasthan, P.S - Bariarpur, District - Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjiv Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Damodar Prasad Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 31-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 103(1), 352,
351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is languishing in
judicial custody since 25-3-2025. It is next submitted that
informant alleges that his daughter-in-law used to deposit an
amount of Rs. 2250 per month with the society run by Radha
Devi, further his son for the month of July 2024 gave an amount
of Rs. 2250 to his sister for depositing it with Radha Devi and
thereafter he went to Himachal Pradesh. It is next alleged that
thereafter the amount of Rs. 2250 was deposited with Radha



Devi for the month of July by the daughter of the informant, but the accused persons, including the petitioner, disputed that an amount of Rs. 2250 for the month of July has been deposited, accordingly an altercation took place when the son of the informant came back from Himachal Pradesh on the said issue, when Bhim Singh caught his son while the petitioner assaulted him by an iron rod causing injury on his head and nose. It is further alleged that the injured was taken to the hospital and while the informant was returning with his daughter, when he was intercepted by the accused persons; and Radha Devi dashed his daughter on the ground while Bhim and Bikram pounced on her chest and abdomen and pressed, on account of which blood came in her mouth and she died.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submit that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that Radha Devi and Budhan Kumar had approached this court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 51988 of 2025 and the same was allowed by an order dated 1-9-2025 after considering the case in detail and on merits. It is also submitted that the petitioner is not alleged to have assaulted the deceased rather is alleged to have assaulted the son of the informant by an iron rod causing injury on head



and nose. It is further submitted that charge sheet in the case has been submitted, as such no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in jail as the petitioner is not a criminal. It is also submitted that the petitioner will cooperate in the trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bariyarpur P.S. Case No. 40 of 2025.

7. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that the petitioner after his release is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial, in both the conditions the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

