

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64965 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-741 Year-2020 Thana- WEST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT
District- West Champaran

=====

Dilshad Ansari S/o Jalauddin Ansari R/o Village- Dhabhwa Nawalpur, P.S.-
Nawalpur, District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Md. Yasin Ansari Son of Maharum Rafique Ansari R/o Village- Maldi, P.O.-
Maldi, P.S.- Shikarpur, District- West Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sujeet Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER

4 05-06-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner in this application prays for bail apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case no.741 of 2020 registered for the offence punishable under sections 498A, 323 and 447 of the Indian Penal Code and sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the complainant states that she was married to the petitioner herein on 13.5.2018. At the time of marriage, gifts to the tune of Rs.1.25 lacs by way of cash besides other articles were given. It is further stated that soon thereafter the accused persons started making a demand of Rs.2 lacs for starting a business and on the father of the



complainant expressing his inability, the complainant was physically and mentally tortured. The complainant states that she is not being looked after instead the torture continued.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. He has always been ready to settle the matter amicably and the instant case was also referred to the mediation centre, however, the matter could not be settled and was returned back. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case only for the reason of his being the husband of the complainant. He has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner in the complaint together with the petitioner not having any criminal antecedent, it is directed that the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Complaint Case no.741 of 2020 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Bettiah,
District West Champaran.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

