

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57966 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2025 Thana- JAKKANPUR District- Patna

Rajiv Anand @ Rajeev Anand @ Sonu Kumar, S/o Vikash Anand @ Sudama Prasad, Resident of New Purandarpur, Janardhan Gali, P.S - Jakkanpur, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shailendra Singh, Advocate Mr. Prabeen Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Stae	:	Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest, in connection with Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 192 of 2025, dated 14.03.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 324(4) and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023 and Section 37 of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2022.

3. As per allegation, the informant was going to Patna in a car bearing registration No. BR01DV7798 and he was being followed by co-accused Sanjeev Kumar by his Scooty. As per further allegation, en route, he overtook the vehicle of the informant and started assaulting the driver of his vehicle. In the meantime, other six unknown persons also arrived there and co-



accused Sanjeev Kumar and the other six accused persons not only assaulted the driver of the informant, but even snatched Rs. 65,000/- which was kept in the vehicle and damaged the car, belonging to the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. However, in course of investigation, police has claimed that by secret information, the police has come to know that the petitioner is involved in the alleged offence.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his



furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court Below, in connection with Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 192 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

