

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58143 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-173 Year-2023 Thana- NAYAGAON District- Saran

Vishwanath Singh S/o Late Sarbanand Singh R/o Village- Kasturi Chak, P.O.
and P.S.- Nayagaon @ Naya Ganw, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Manish Chandra Gandhi, Advocate Mr. Himanshu Ranjan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 23-09-2025 Heard Mr. Manish Chandra Gandhi, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Umeshanand
Pandit, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 149, 323,
341, 392, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 504 and 506 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that on the
persuasion of this petitioner and other accused persons, husband
of the informant purchased a land from one Karamu Ray and
later on, the informant came to know that the alleged Karamu
Ray was not real owner of the land in question and thus, this
petitioner, along with other accused persons, cheated the



husband of complainant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is neither vendor nor vendee. Petitioner is only alleged to have introduced husband of complainant to Karamu Ray. Petitioner is neither identifier or seller of the alleged sale deed. It is not the case of the complainant that this petitioner received a single farthing as consideration money. Moreover, the dispute is with regard to sale and purchase of land, which is purely civil in nature and none of the acts allegedly committed by this petitioner would give rise to any criminal liability. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named



petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Saran at Chapra in connection with Nayagaon P.S. Case No. 173 of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

