

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5626 of 2016

=====

Shobha Devi Wife of Mithlesh Kumar Resident of village - Kusherkhas, P.O.
Sherpur Chatwara, P.S. Mahuwa, District - Vaishali, Hajipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali
3. The Officer - in - Charge Hajipur Police Station, Hajipur
4. Thakur Satyendra Prasad Singh Son of Late Markandey Singh
5. Babita Singh Wife of Thakur Satyendra Prasad Singh resident of village -
Rajasan Pakauli, P.S. Bidupur, P.O. Rajasan Pakauli, District - Vaishali,
Hajipur
6. Mithilesh Kumar Son of Prabhu Dayal Singh resident of village - Kushan
Khas, P.O. Sherpur Chatwara, P.S. Mahuwa, District - Vaishali at Hajipur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratan Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Arbind Kumar No. II, SC-17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

9 10-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel for the Respondent-State.

2. With the consent of both the parties, this writ
application is being disposed of at the present stage itself.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
for seeking the reliefs as claimed for in the present writ
application, the petitioner has already filed a representation
dated 11.02.2016 (Annexure-3) before the Superintendent
of Police, Vaishali (Respondent No. 2) which continues to



be pending till date. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if an appropriate direction could be issued to the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali (Respondent No. 2) to dispose of her representation on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, by passing a reasoned and speaking order.

4. To this prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned counsel for the Respondent-State does not have any objection.

5. Considering the formal nature of prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is being disposed of directing the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali (Respondent No. 2) to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 11.02.2016 (Annexure-3) on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, by passing a reasoned and speaking order.

6. The entire exercise must be concluded within a period of three months from the date of production of a copy of this order by the petitioner.



7. With the aforesaid observation and direction,
this writ application is disposed of. All pending
Interlocutory Applications, if any shall stand disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

