

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61988 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-235 Year-2025 Thana- BANIAPUR District- Saran

1. Satendra Pandey S/o- Late Lalita Pandey Resident of Village- Puchhari PS- Baniapur District- Saran
2. Dilip Pandey S/o- Vijay Pandey Resident of Village- Puchhari PS- Baniapur District- Saran
3. Ravi Ranjan Pandey @ Ravi Ranjan Kumar @ Kallu S/o- Dilip Pandey Resident of Village- Puchhari PS- Baniapur District- Saran
4. Piyush Pandey @ Piyush Kumar S/o- Tuntun Pandey Resident of Village- Puchhari PS- Baniapur District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Advocate
Mr. Anjani Parashar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-09-2025 Heard Mr. Arun, learned counsel for the petitioners
and Mr. Anil Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for
the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in
connection with Baniapur P.S. Case No. 235 of 2025, F.I.R.
dated 31.05.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections
127(1), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 303(2), 109, 351(2), 352 and
3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused
persons including these petitiones armed with deadly weapons
have brutally assaulted the informant and his family members
and also threatened the informant to kill his whole family. It is
also stated that the informant suspects that his nephews were



also kidnapped by the F.I.R named accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner nos. 2 and 3 have clean antecedent and petitioner nos. 1 and 4 carries two criminal antecedents other than the present one but they are on bail in the pending matters and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. Although the petitioners are named in the F.I.R but from perusal of the F.I.R it appears that there is no specific allegation against the petitioners rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including these petitioners and there is case and counter case between the parties.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that there is no specific allegation against these petitioners rather there is general and omnibus allegation against them, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Saran at Chapra in connection with



Baniapur P.S. Case No. 235 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

