

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62011 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-261 Year-2022 Thana- KORHA District- Katihar

Ajay Kumar Yadav @ Ajay Kumar S/o- Late Nageshwar Yadav Resident of
Village- Koriyapatti Paschim Supaul PS- Jadiya District-Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Nandlal Kumar Paswan S/o- Ranjeet Paswan Village- Manihari Ps- Manihari
Dist- Katihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bimal Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence under Sections 420, 406 and 379 of
I.P.C.

3. Informant Nand Kumar Paswan, Area Manager of
Ashirvad Micro Finance Limited, alleged that this petitioner,
who was working as Field Worker, in collusion with other staff
of the company, opened the account of some ladies in the Post
Office. Those ladies applied for loan in the company where the
informant was working. Manager of the company granted and
processed the loan amount to the bank account of those ladies



(Rs.60,000/- to six ladies and Rs.40,000/- to five ladies each).

After the loan amount was deposited by the company, this petitioner fraudulently transferred the entire loan amount to his account, left his job and fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. He further submits that loan amount was sanctioned prior to 07.12.2021 and present F.I.R. has been instituted on 08.06.2022. Not a single loanee has contacted the company or complained cheating against the petitioner and petitioner has been made accused in this case only on suspicion. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition.

6. There is specific accusation that petitioner, who was working in the company, transferred the entire loan amount to his account and thereafter left the job. As per preliminary report, during enquiry it was found that this petitioner embezzled Rs.5,32,059/- pertaining to 26 customers out of which Rs.90,000/- was recovered and balance of Rs. 4,41,379/- is still to be recovered.

7. Considering the nature of allegations and conduct



of the petitioner, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner is
rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

