

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23976 of 2018

=====

Sourav Shaw S/o Mithilesh Shaw Resident of Village- Khanwan, P.S.- Narhat
District- Nawada, At Present Address- Sourav Shaw S/o Mithilesh Shaw
Mohalla- 42 A Jainuddin Mistry Lane, P.O. Allipore Kolkata, P.S.- Chetla
District- Kolkata State West Bengal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India Through the Secretary, Department of Railway Ministry of Railway, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary Department of Railway Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The General Manager Eastern Railway 17 Netaji Subhash Road, Havel Street Kolkata, West Bengal.
4. The Chief Administrative Officer Construction Eastern Railway, 14 Strand Road, Kolkata (W.B.)
5. The Deputy General Manager Eastern Railway, 17 Netaji Subhash Road, Havel Street Kolkata.
6. The Divisional Railway, Manager Eastern Railway Malda (W.B.)
7. The Deputy Chief Engineer Construction Eastern Railway, Bhagalpur.
8. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary Revenue and Land reform Department.
9. The District Magistrate Nawada.
10. The Deputy Land Acquisition Officer Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Pankaj Kumar
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Manoj Kumar Sinha, AC to SC-19
For the UOI	:	Mr. Amit Kishore Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ In the
nature of MANDAMUS the Commanding*



and directing respondent authorities to Consider the case of the petitioner for his appointment whose land has been acquired for construction of Tilaiya Kodarma New Bari lane project appertaining to Khata no. 103, 33, 105, 108, 23, 106, 112, 117, Survey Chhetra No. 490 492, 491, 496, 485, 477 478 459, 458, 463, area 1.37 acre through land Acquisition Case No. 10/2002-03;

(ii) further for issuance of direction to the respondent authorities consider the grievances to of the petitioner in the light of Judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court which is the case of State of Uttar Pradesh Vs. Arvind Kumar Shrivastava & others reported in (2015) I.S.C.C. 347 decision has been a that where rendered by a court in rem. The case of the petitioner be also consider in the light of Judgment / order dated 3.10 18 passed in L.P.A. No. 1981 of 2015 Himanshu Kumar Choubey and others Vs. The Union of India and others;



*(iii) further for issuance of any other order/
orders direction/directions for which
petitioner shall be found entitled under the
facts circumstances of the case.*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the respondents with proper documents to register his claim.

4. Learned State counsel submits that if the petitioner approaches, the matter shall be taken to its logical conclusion.

5. In that background, liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach the respondent no.9, the Collector, Nawada.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

