

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58747 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-151 Year-2024 Thana- GAUNAHA District- West Champaran

BITTU RAM S/o- Mohan Ram Village- Dhumnagar Kachahari Tola Ps-
Nautan Dist- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ranita Devi @ Ranita Kumari W/o- Bittu Ram Village- Dhumnagar Kachahari Tola, Ps- Nautan Dist- West Champaran, P/A- Murli Bharawaha Po- Bargajawa Ps- Gaunaha Dist- West Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Lal, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 01-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Gaunaha P.S. Case No. 151 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 127(1), 115(2), 85, 352, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner alongwith the family members tortured the informant physically and mentally for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the



petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is the husband of the informant. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner never demanded any dowry nor tortured the victim/informant. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner is ready to keep the victim/informant in her matrimonial house with full honour and dignity but, as a matter of fact, the informant herself is not ready to reside with the petitioner in the joint family and due to the said reason, she left her matrimonial house and lodged the false case against the petitioner and his family members. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 19.12.2024 and has one criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court
below/concerned Court in connection with Gaunaha P.S. Case
No. 151 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

