

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58842 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-353 Year-2025 Thana- NAGAR District- Vaishali

=====

Sintu Gwala @ Sintu Singh @ Sintu Gowala S/o Adhik Gwala @ Adhik Singh R/o Village- Fata Pokhar, Jhunjhunpura, P.S.- Rajganj, District- Jalopaigudi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 24-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Hajipur Town P.S. Case No. 353 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 304(2), 317(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on the alleged date and time, when the informant's son along with one associate was returning after withdrawing a sum of Rs. 5 lakhs from the ATM, two persons boarded on a motorcycle snatched the bag containing Rs. 5 lakhs.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of his self-confessional statement. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the looted amount. Learned counsel further contended that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence nor he has been identified from the CCTV footage. Learned counsel further submitted that T.I.P. has not been conducted till date. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 29.04.2025 and has five criminal antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that the looted amount has been recovered from the house of this petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of



Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Hajipur Town P.S. Case No. 353 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

