

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60439 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-31 Year-2023 Thana- MAHILA P.S District- West Champaran

Munna Sahni son of Vindhyachal Sahani Village-Mahesra Malahi Police
Station-Gopalpur District-West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rambha Kumari Daughter of Tunna Manjhi Village-Mahesra Malahi Police
Station-Gopalpur District-West Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate
		Ms. Akanksha Rai, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Usha Kumari 1, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 26-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mahila
P.S. Case No. 31 of 2023 instituted for the offences under
Sections 341, 342 & 376 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 4 &
6 of the POCSO Act and Sections 3(i)(r)(s) & 3(2)(va) of the
SC/ST Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order
dated 13-03-2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 71523 of 2023, taking
into account that there is direct allegation against the petitioner



of forcibly committing rape with the victim girl, which is serious in nature.

4. In compliance of the order dated 28.08.2025, a report dated 04.09.2025 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that eight prosecution witnesses have been examined. It is further reported that trial is likely to be concluded within next three months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 12-06-2023 without any rhymes or reason. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner deserves the privilege of bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it also appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again ***rejected*** with a direction to the court below to



expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of two months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

