

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57936 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-248 Year-2025 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

Ravi Ranjan Kumar S/O Upendra Shah Resident of Village- Hirdopatti, P.S.-
Runnisaidpur, Dist.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastav, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with
Runnaisaidpur P.S. Case No. 248 of 2025 registered under
Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on the basis of secret
information that two four-wheelers have brought illicit liquor
which is going to be unloaded in the courtyard of the petitioner,
a raid was conducted and 803.13 litres of illicit liquor was
recovered from Bolero vehicle, Alto car and courtyard of the
petitioner. Five accused persons were managed to flee away
from the spot. *Mahal Chowkidar* and other villagers disclosed
the name of the petitioner as one of the escaped persons.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner has never involved in the alleged crime. The seized vehicles in question do not belong to the petitioner. Petitioner has no concern with the seized illicit liquor. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Petitioner has one criminal antecedent of similar nature in which, he is on bail and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that there is huge recovery of illicit liquor has been made from two cars and courtyard of the petitioner. Petitioner has one criminal antecedent of similar nature. He further submits that in view of Full Bench decision of this Court rendered in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. The State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089 (F.B.)**, *prima facie* case is made out against the petitioner, therefore, the anticipatory bail is not maintainable.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties, huge quantity of recovery and criminal antecedent of similar nature, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the



petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is,
hereby, rejected.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

