

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58521 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-46 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Saran

=====

Arun Kumar Prasad @ Arun Kumar s/o Rajkumar Prasad R/O Vill- Dubauli,
Dhenuki Bazar, P.S- Panapur, Dist- Saran-741410

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
2. Mahasweta Sinha, NA Police Inspector-cum-SHO, Saran, Mahila P.S.
Chapra - 841301

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajiv Nayan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 143(1), 145, 98 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 8 and 12 of the Prevention of Children from Sexual Offence Act, 2012 and Sections 3,4, 5 and 6 of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that on the basis of the information received regarding minor girls being kept for illegal purposes, a raid was conducted at the house of this petitioner, from where four girls were allegedly recovered.



Hence, the present FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is submitted that from the FIR itself, the only allegation against the petitioner is that he used to employ the recovered girls for dancing, as a result of which they were subjected to mental and physical exploitation. It is further submitted that one Mangal Parmanik, who is father of the one of the girls recovered from the house, has filed an affidavit to the effect that he had taken two rooms on rent from the mother of this petitioner and that he is having no complaint against him. It is also stated in that affidavit that no dance business is being run by this petitioner. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 14.07.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Saran Mahila P.S. Case No. 46 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned



Exclusive Special Additional Sessions Judge (POCSO) Saran at
Chapra.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

