

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58779 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-31 Year-2024 Thana- MORO District- Darbhanga

Ramesh Sah S/o Late Vijay Sah R/o Village- Basouli, Ps- Rahika, Dist-
Madhubani, At Proprietor of Vijay Jewelers, Vill - Udhopatti, Mustaffapur,
P.S.- Bisanpur, Distt.- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Baidyanath Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tarun Prasad Mandal, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 11-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323
and 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The Investigating Officer of the case in compliance
of the order dated 09.09.2025 is present in the Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that on 29.05.2024 he along with his wife was going to
the parental home of his wife when at about 07:50 p.m. he
reached near Ram Sawroop crossing then miscreants from the
motorcycle intercepted them and snatched the ornaments of his
wife on point of gun.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR was against unknown and during investigation of a different case one Mahesh Yadav was apprehended who confessed about his participation in the present occurrence and also disclosed the name of the petitioner. It is next submitted that petitioner is a jeweller and the accused had come to his shop and took Rs.8,000/- in lieu of the jewellery mortgaged. It is also submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that jewellery was a looted article. It is submitted that petitioner also maintains his register and the said transaction was entered in the register which the police seized.

6. The investigating Officer of the case, who is present in the Court, submits that during the course of investigation, it transpired that petitioner had not purchased the looted articles rather had kept the jewellery by way of mortgage and had given Rs.8,000/- to the accused which was duly entered in the register.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

8. Considering the submission made by the Investigating Officer of the case, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial



court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Moro P.S. Case No. 31 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

9. The personal appearance of the Investigating Officer of the case is dispensed with.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

