

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57549 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-306 Year-2024 Thana- SIDHWALIYA District- Gopalganj

Kajal Kumari, Daughter of Umesh Ram, R/O Village - Basdila (Bistaul), P.S.-
Baikunthpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Swarnima, Advocate Mr. Adesh Raj Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Anita Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 12-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Sidhwaliya P.S. Case No. 306 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80, 82(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the daughter of the informant, namely, Kavita Kumari (deceased) was married to one Guddu Kumar. It is alleged that she was being subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand of Rs. 4,00,000/- The husband of the deceased is a rural medical practitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is a lady and she has been falsely implicated in this case. She is not the family member of Guddu Kumar rather



she is an assistant at his hospital. It is alleged that Guddu has solemnized marriage with her. There is no allegation against her of subjecting the deceased to cruelty or assaulting her. From perusal of the F.I.R., it is clear that allegation is that the daughter of the informant was killed by strangulation whereas from perusal of the postmortem report, it is clear that the doctors have found ligature mark on neck and the viscera is preserved for F.S.L. report. No different opinion was given by the Medical Board regarding the cause of death. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and she is languishing in judicial custody since 31.01.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st Class, Gopalganj in connection with Sidhwaliya P.S. Case No. 306 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

