

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22917 of 2018

=====

Jai Prakash Sharma and Ors.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The Union Of India and Ors.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Madan Prasad Singh No-2, Adv. Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Raju, Adv.
For the NHAI	:	Dr. Maurya Vijay Chandra, Adv. Mr. Gaurav Govinda, Adv. Ms. Preety Ranjan, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, G.P.-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard Mr. Madan Prasad Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and learned counsel representing the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“(i) For issuance of writ of mandamus
commanding the respondents to pay
compensation in terms of Bihar Land Acquisition
Re-establishment and Rehabilitation Policy,
2007 (बिहार भू-अर्जन पुनः स्थापना एवं पुनर्वास
नीति, 2007) which provides 60% solatium and
12% interest from September 2011 (27.09.2011)
when the respondents took possession over the
land till the date of payment.*



(ii) For issuance of direction to furnish break up of determination of valuation of land and addition of 50% of the valuation of land and 60% solatium thereon payable to the petitioners so that the petitioners can know the amount paid and to be paid.

Or issue appropriate writ/writs, direction/directions writ /writs, or pass such other order /orders which may be found just and proper.”

3. It has been informed by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the amount has been received by the petitioner and liberty be granted to agitate the matter so far as the interest part is concerned.

4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

