

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58289 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2025 Thana- Basmatia District- Araria

Sanjay Yadav S/O Late Dasrath Yadav R/O Bela, Ward No.-8, P.S- Basmatiya,
Distt.- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Adv.
	:	Mr. Kumar Rajdeep, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Basmatiya P.S. Case No. 28 of 2025 instituted for the offences
under Sections 8(c), 21(b) of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that total 205 grams
brown sugar/smack has been recovered in this case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that
the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Petitioner is in
custody since 30.05.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. There
is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the
petitioner. No incriminating material has been recovered from



the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the same has been recovered from co-accused. The name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused person from whose possession, the alleged contraband was recovered. Petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The recovered contraband is below commercial quantity, and hence, Section 37 of the N.D.P.S. Act is not applicable in the present case. There is no compliance of Sections 42 and 50 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, recovered contraband being less than the commercial quantity as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Basmatiya P.S. Case No. 28 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:



(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

