

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58368 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-432 Year-2025 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

Bharat Ram Son of Late - Jay Ram @ Jai Ram R/o Village - Charwahi,
Chakwa, P.S. - Jagdishpur, Dist. - Bhojpur at Ara, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Amar Kumar (Ex-Havaldar) Son of Late Mohan Prasad R/o Village/Mohalla
- Botal Khana, PO and P.S - Danapur, Dist. - Patna, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ravi Kant Kumar, Advocate
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Raghu Raj Pratap, Advocate
		Mr. Apurv Harsh, Advocate
		Mr. Manu Tripurari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mrs. Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard Mr. Ravi Kant Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner; Mr. Raghu Raj Pratap, learned counsel for the O.P.

No. 2 and Mrs. Dr. Indihar Kumari, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Danapur P.S. Case No. 432 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian
Penal Code in which charge-sheet bearing charge-sheet no.
981/2025 has been submitted alleged offence under Sections
406 and 420 of the I.P.C. and Sections 467/468 of the I.P.C.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has
taken altogether Rs. 6,00,000/- from the informant on a false



promise of providing him employment in MES. It is also alleged that despite the payment, the informant did not get any job and the petitioner is also not returning the cash.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that there are descriptions of two amounts; one is through PhonePe, and another is through cash. He denies the cash, but as far as the transaction that has been made on PhonePe is concerned, it is admitted by the petitioner, and he is ready to pay the same. It has also been submitted that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 17.05.2025

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State. Learned counsel for the informant is present and he has also submitted that he is also ready to receive the said amount.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **with a condition that the petitioner shall pay the sum within a period of three**



months; however, he will pay the first installment within 15 days after the bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Danapur, Patna, in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 432 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

