

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60097 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-217 Year-2023 Thana- KOTWA District- East Champaran

Satyendra Kumar, S/O Jitendra Das Resident Of Village- Karariya Beragi
Tola, P.o. And P.s.- Kotwa, Dist.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Khushi Kumari, D/O Fakudar Bhagat Resident Of Village- Karariya Beragi
Tola, P.o. And P.s.- Kotwa, Dist.- East Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pravin Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in Kotwa P. S. Case No.217 of 2023 registered for the
offences punishable under Section 354B of the Indian Penal
Code and Sections 8 and 12 of the POCSO Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the
informant alleges that petitioner entered her house while she
was sleeping with her grandmother and tried to commit rape and
even touched her inappropriately at inappropriate places.
Further, the informant fled to the home of her relatives.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that the statement of the victim was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. Wherein she has not supported the case of the prosecution rather has stated that the FIR was instituted at the instance of one Shyamal.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that informant is a minor and she herself has instituted the instant FIR. It is further submitted that no family members would allow the girl of the family to institute an FIR with false allegations knowing very well that the same would bring disrepute to the family.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

