

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59746 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2025 Thana- JAMUI RAIL District- Lakhisarai

-
1. Madan Ravidas S/o Mahadeo Ravidas R/o Village - Penghi (Ward No. 3),
P.S - Barhat, District - Jamui
 2. Guddu Kumar @ Guddu Kumar Das S/o Madan Ravidas R/o Village -
Penghi (Ward No. 3), P.S - Barhat, District - Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Krishna Pd. Singh, Sr. Advocate Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Pallawi Kumari, Advocate Mr. Kunal Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 03-12-2025 Heard learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of

the petitioners, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1),
61(2) and 238 of the B.N.S..

3. It is a case of honour killing. As per prosecution
case, informant alleged that all the F.I.R. named accused
persons, including these petitioners, killed her son and threw his



dead body on the railway track.

4. It is submitted by learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence. As a matter of fact, Petitioner No. 1 happens to be father-in-law and Petitioner No. 2 happens to be brother-in-law of the deceased and they have falsely been implicated in this case merely on suspicion.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation of committing murder of son of informant, namely Munna Kumar and throwing his dead body on railway track. During course of investigation, it has come that petitioners were not happy with the marriage of daughter of Petitioner No. 1 with the deceased and earlier also, they attacked the house of the deceased and used to threaten to kill the deceased.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, gravity of offence and materials that have surfaced during course of investigation, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is



rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

