

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59708 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2025 Thana- KALYANPUR District- East Champaran

1. Chawar Mahto @ Asnarayan Mahato Son of Madan Mahto Resident of Village- Mananpur, Ps- Kalyanpur, Dist- East Champaran
2. Ramashish Mahto son of Sukhal Mahto Resident of Village- Mananpur, Ps- Kalyanpur, Dist- East Champaran
3. Jaykumar Mahto son of Belash Mahto @ kailash Mahto Resident of Village- Mananpur, Ps- Kalyanpur, Dist- East Champaran
4. Rambharosh Mahto son of Madan Mahto Resident of Village- Mananpur, Ps- Kalyanpur, Dist- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Vikash Kumar Pankaj, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 07-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(1), 192(2), 191(3), 195(1), 127(1), 115(2), 118(2), 109, 76, 121(1), 132, 324(3), 351(2) and 352 of B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on information that warrantee Vijay Sah of Tr. No.1670/2025 is seen near his house,



the informant along with the police force raided his house and arrested Vijay when he started shouting, thereafter 27 named accused persons along with unknown accused came and attacked the force by rod and farsa and damaged the police vehicle and forcibly took Vijay away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is also submitted that petitioners are not related to Vijay in any manner and since they reside nearby the house of Vijay, as such, when ruckus was created, they out of inquisitiveness came out of the house to witness the occurrence and came to be implicated. It is also submitted that petitioners are not criminals.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, let petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court



where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with
Kalyanpur P.S. Case No.195 of 2025, subject to the conditions
as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

