

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64401 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2025 Thana- KALYANPUR District- East Champaran

1. Kariman Mahato @ Parmeshwar Mahto S/o- Budhram Mahto Village- Mananpur, P.S.- Kalyanpur, Dist- East Champaran
2. Dinesh Kumar @ Dinesh Sah S/o- Yadolal Sah Village- Mananpur, P.S.- Kalyanpur, Dist- East Champaran
3. Suresh Mahto S/o- Dhawal Mahto Village- Mananpur, P.S.- Kalyanpur, Dist- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar Pankaj
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Kishor Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(1), 192(2), 191(3), 195(1), 127(1), 115(2), 118(2), 109, 76, 121(1), 132, 324(3), 351(2), 352 of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on information that warranty Vijay Sah of TR. No.1670 of 2025 is seen near his house, the informant along with the police force raided his house and arrested Vijay when



he started shouting, thereafter 27 named accused persons along with unknown accused came and attacked the force by rod and farsa and damaged the police vehicle and forcibly took Vijay away.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that a specific pleading has been made at Para-11 of the anticipatory bail application that petitioners in no way are related to Vijay. It is further submitted that since petitioners reside nearby the house of Vijay, as such, when ruckus was created they out of inquisitiveness came out of the house to witness the occurrence and came to be implicated.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the fact that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-12th,



East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Kalyanpur P. S.
Case No.195 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under
Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

