

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57234 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-70 Year-2025 Thana- NIMACHANDPURA District- Begusarai

Ravi Kumar, S/o Sudip Sah Resident of Baraith, Ward no. 4, P.O.- Kaith, P.S.-
Neemchandpura, Dist.- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Neemachandpura @ Nimachandpura P.S.
Case No. 70 of 2025, dated 17.06.2025, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 96 and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per allegation, the 16 year old daughter of the
informant has been enticed away by the petitioner, as per
hearsay, with intent to marry her and when the informant along
with her family members went to the house of the petitioner to
know about her daughter, she was abused by them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. He further submits that as a matter of fact, the petitioner



has not enticed the alleged victim. In fact, the victim came to the petitioner on her own for marriage, because both of them were in love with each other, but parents of the alleged victim were going to get her married with an old person. He also refers to the statement of the alleged victim as recorded by learned Judicial Magistrate under Section 183 BNSS which supports the version of the petitioner.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. I perused the statement of the alleged victim as recorded by learned Judicial Magistrate under Section 183 BNSS, as per which she went to the petitioner on her own and get married with him. However, as per statement, no physical relationship has been established between them. As such, I find, *prima facie*, no offence has been committed by the petitioner.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named,



to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court Below, in connection with Neemachandpura @ Nimachandpura P.S. Case No. 70 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

