

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60230 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2322 Year-2024 Thana- EAST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT
District- East Champaran

=====

Ranvir Kumar @ Ranveer Kumar S/O Late Hiralal Prasad Resident of
Village- Godhwa, P.S- Muffasil Motihari, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manisha Kumari W/O Ranvir Kumar @ Ranveer Kumar, D/O Satyadev Prasad @ Satyadev Prasad Kushwaha R/O Village- Godhwa, P.S- Muffasil Motihari, Distt.- East Champaran presently residing Village- Nandpur Dhekhan, P.S- Muffasil Motihari, Distt.- East Champaran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Karandeep Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Nand Kumar, APP
For the Complainant	:	Ms. Ranjana Srivastawa, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 10-11-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant

/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner, husband of the complainant

/Opposite Party No. 2, apprehends his arrest in a case registered

for the offence punishable under Section 498A of the Indian

Penal Code.

3. Earlier, vide order dated 04.09.2025, the matter was

referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Patna High

Court. From perusal of mediator's report dated 17.10.2025 it is



apparent that despite best efforts, the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation due to absence of petitioner.

4. As per prosecution case, marriage of complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with this petitioner on 11.11.2024 and thereafter, all the accused persons, including this petitioner, started demanding dowry and due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 was subjected to cruelty and harassment.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner denies the allegations made in the complaint petition. Petitioner never committed torture to complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 or demanded any dowry. However, the petitioner undertakes to give Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month by way of temporary relief/solace, starting from this month, to the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for



the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give temporary relief/solace of ***Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month to complainant/Opposite Party No. 2***, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the petitioner, above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Complaint Case No. C-2322 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. with further following conditions:

“(A.) The Complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(B.) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid aforesaid amount per month in the saving bank account of the Complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 .

(C.) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the aforesaid amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bond.

(D.) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

