

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60163 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-695 Year-2024 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

-
1. Anil Prasad @ Anil Kumar Son of Late Nandkishor Prasad Resident of village- Dr. Dharnidhar Ward no. 29, Ps- Town, Dist- Aurangabad
 2. Rahul Raj son of Anil Prasad @ Anil Kumar Resident of village- Dr. Dharnidhar Ward no. 29, Ps- Town, Dist- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dharmendra Singh son of Late Ram Kripal Singh Resident of village- Anandpura, Ps- Mufassil, Dist- Aurangabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Aashish Kumar, Advocate Mr. Avinash Kumar Pandey, Advocate Mr. Adarsh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Murli Dhar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137,
137(2) and 96 of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that Abhishek Kumar kidnapped his minor daughter
aged about 16 years with an intent to marry. Further, Abhishek
Kumar called the wife of the informant on her mobile and
demanded ransom of Rs.3 lakhs and said to handover the



amount to the petitioners or else he will kill the victim. Further, the informant could hear the cries of the victim from behind, thereafter, Rahul Raj, brother of Abhishek Kumar came and threatened to handover the amount.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that the date of occurrence is 18.09.2024 and the FIR came to be instituted on 01.10.2024 i.e. after a delay of 14 days without any plausible explanation. It is next submitted that the victim has come back and her statement was recorded under Section 180 of the BNSS wherein she has not supported the case of the prosecution rather has stated that she had gone to Delhi. It is also submitted that petitioners being father and brother of Abhishek Kumar came to be implicated in order to coerce Abhishek Kumar into submission.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, let the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-
(Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like
amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where
the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with
Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 695 of 2025, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

