

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57176 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-156 Year-2025 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

-
1. Piyush Raj, S/o Pramod Singh, R/o Village - Lakhipur Koli, P.O - Phatehpur, P.S - Gourichak, District - Patna
 2. Aayushi @ Ritaka Kumari, D/o Pramod Singh, R/o Village - Lakhipur Koli, P.O - Phatehpur, P.S - Gourichak, District - Patna
 3. Rupali Kumari, D/o Pramod Singh, R/o Village - Lakhipur Koli, P.O - Phatehpur, P.S - Gourichak, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Laxmi Devi, W/o Pramod Singh, R/o Village - Mirabigha, P.S - Ghoshi, District - Jehanabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Adv. Mr. Amrendra Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Kumar Rajdeep, Adv. Ms. Diksha Kumari, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned Senior Advocate

 appearing on behalf of the petitioners and the learned Additional

 Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection

with Ghoshi P.S. Case No. 156 of 2025, registered for the

offences punishable under Sections 108 and 3(5) of the

Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Allegedly the marriage of the son of the informant

was solemnized with the sister of the petitioners in the year



2020. The couple also blessed with a child. It is alleged that the wife of the deceased had developed some illicit relation with other person and thereafter she left her matrimonial home with all her ornaments and valuables and returned to her *maika*. Despite every efforts made by the deceased to get her bidai, he did not succeed; in the mean while, the wife also instituted a false case with Mahila Police Station, Gardanibagh. On 04.04.2025, in connection with the said case, notice was also issued; further the accused persons were mounting pressure upon the deceased to return the money spent in the marriage. On all these count, the deceased committed suicide.

4. Learned Senior Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that besides the fact the petitioner no.1 is brother-in-law and petitioner no. 2 and 3 are sister-in-law of the deceased, there is omnibus nature of allegation, moreover, even if the allegation, for the sake of argument, taken to be true, no case much less as alleged in the FIR is made out. Admittedly the deceased committed suicide in his own house and the petitioners or any of the family members were not even present at the time of the occurrence in the house of the informant. It is the admitted case of the prosecution that the wife of the deceased had left the house long back and their relationship were worsen.



5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that the nature of allegation is serious against all the accused persons.

6. Having considered the submissions set forth by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the relationship of the petitioners with the deceased, coupled with the fact that the occurrence took place within the premise and the house of the informant, let the petitioners above named be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount **each** to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad in connection with Ghoshi P.S. Case No. 156 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

