

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58844 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2025 Thana- ROH District- Nawada

Vikash Kumar Son of Mani Singh R/o Village- Santhe(Sathe), P.S.- Roh,
District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Diwakar .

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 96
and 3(5) of the B.N.S.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that her daughter on 06.04.2025 had gone to attend call of
nature but did not return, on enquiry, villagers informed that
they saw Prem and petitioner forcibly taking her daughter on a
motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has been
falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next
submitted that the FIR does not disclose that as to who disclosed



to the informant that he saw the petitioner taking her daughter on a motorcycle along with Prem Kumar. It is next submitted that since petitioner is friend Prem as such he has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that the victim came back and her statement was recorded under section 183 BNSS, wherein she did not support the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the same records- from the statement under section 183 BNSS, it is clear that she had gone with the petitioner Premjit Kumar out of her own sweet will and married him. It is submitted that from the order impugned, it is clear that the victim apart from not supporting the case of the prosecution has also not alleged anything against the petitioner but then the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner fairly submits that victim is a minor aged about 15 years. It is next submitted that Prem Kumar @ Premjit Kumar has already been arrested.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and also taking into consideration the fact that victim has not alleged anything against the petitioner,



the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Roh P.S. Case No.120/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

