

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57007 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2025 Thana- AAYAR District- Bhojpur

Chandan Singh S/o Dhanu Singh R/o Village - Kusumha, P.S - Ayar, District - Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Priya, Adv.
		Mr.Prabhat Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Umanath Mishra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 10-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Ayar P.S. Case No. 45 of 2025 dated 04.05.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 351(2), 352, 190 and 191(2) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant's sisters had some monetary dispute with one Sunaina Devi who had earlier taken Rs. 20,000/- and Rs. 5,000/-. When the money was demanded back then Sunaina Devi and her relative abused and assaulted the informant's sisters. When the informant tried to save them, the petitioner assaulted him with sword causing



injuries on his head and the informant became unconscious. The informant's father was also assaulted by the accused persons due to which he sustained injuries in his leg. Thereafter, the injured was taken to the hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has been made accused in this case only because he is the family member of Sunaina Devi. There is a delay of seven days in lodging the F.I.R. without any explanation. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. As per the injury report of the injured, Santosh Kumar, the injury no. 3 is simple in nature whereas the injury no. 3 of the injured Hareram Singh is simple in nature and other injuries of both the injured are reserved till X-ray report. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 15.06.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-



named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Ayar P.S. Case No. 45 of 2025 with the condition :-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

(ii) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

