

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56533 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-336 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Sheikhpura

Rinku Devi W/o Late Arvind Prasad R/o Village - Murarpur, P.S - Korma,
District - Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nilendu Kumar Choudhary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Shaheen Begum, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
under Section 30(a)(c) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, 13 litres of illicit liquor
was recovered from the vacant land situated at western side of
the petitioner's house. Petitioner was apprehended on the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this
case due to oblique reason. Further submission is that the illicit
liquor was recovered from open place easily accessible to the
public at large. Nothing has been recovered from conscious
possession of the petitioner. Petitioner has three criminal



antecedents of similar nature in which she is on bail and there is no chance of her absconding or tampering with the evidence. Petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2025 and she undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection with Excise P.S. Case No. 336 of 2025 with further conditions:-

(i) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of her bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(ii) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidence, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bond;

(iii) the petitioner shall desist from committing any



criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty
to take steps for cancellation of her bail bond.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

