

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61421 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-366 Year-2024 Thana- JANKINAGAR District- Purnia

=====

Suman Ram @ Jagan Ram @ Jogan Ram Son of Vesi Ram Resident of
Village - Murliganj, Joregaon, P.S. - Murliganj, Dist. - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Binodanand Mishra, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate
		Ms. Ankita Tripathi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Nityanand Tiwary, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 04-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Jankinagar P.S. Case No. 366 of 2024 instituted for the offence
under Sections 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 & 25(1-AA) of the Arms Act.

3. On 12.10.2024, while checking vehicles at NH 107
near Vinobagram, police apprehended Amandeep Choudhary
and Deepak Kumar, who attempted to flee on a motorcycle
without documents. On interrogation, Amandeep confessed of
being involved in illegal arms trade, supplying weapons from
Suman Ram (petitioner) to gangs in Madhepura, Supaul,
Saharsa, Purnea, and even Nepal, and disclosed that he had



hidden a country-made pistol near *Murkatta* bridge, which was later recovered by police along with mobile phones and SIM cards seized from both accused.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 14-06-2025. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused, namely, Amandeep Choudhary and Deepak Kumar and they have been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 05-02-2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 88338 of 2024 and Cr. Misc. No. 44 of 22025. As is evident from bare perusal of the FIR itself that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Merely on the exculpatory statement of co-accused, petitioner has been roped in this case. Petitioner has no concern with the recovered arms. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the BNSS, 2023.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case, period of custody of the petitioner, manner of petitioner’s implication and claim based on parity, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Jankinagar P.S. Case No. 366 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

