

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56529 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-307 Year-2023 Thana- KALYANPUR District- Samastipur

Ranjeet Rai @ Ranjeet Kumar Ranjan S/O Deelip Kumar Rai R/O Village-
Muktapur, P.S- Kalyanpur, Distt.- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shubhesh Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 26-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kalyanpur P.S. Case No. 307 of 2023 dated 17.10.2023 registered for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case total 6660.00 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from one truck and two pick-up vans..

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is neither the owner



nor the driver of the said vehicles. The name of the petitioner has transpired in this case through the disclosure made by the co-accused person. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The other co-accused person has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 04.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 24401 of 2025. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Samastipur in connection with Kalyanpur P.S. Case No. 307 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, with further condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

