

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58268 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-419 Year-2024 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Rajesh Kumar @ Rajesh Singh S/O Satya Narayan Singh R/O Mohalla-Singh Tola, Ward no. 38, P.S.- Purnea, Dist.- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 419 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 21, 21(c), 22 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the police has recovered altogether 6,000 bottles, each containing 100 ml, amounting to a total of 600 liters of codeine-based syrup.

4. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail which was earlier rejected by this Court on the ground of recovery of contraband beyond commercial quantity vide order dated 13.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 79045 of 2024.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2024. He further submits



that till date, out of total four charge-sheeted witnesses, only one witness has been examined and, thus, there is no likelihood of conclusion of the trial in near future.

6. In compliance to the order of this Court dated 19.08.2025, the learned court below has sent report dated 23.08.2025 regarding present stage of trial.

7. The report of the learned I/c Sessions Judge, Araria dated 23.08.2025 shows that out of four charge-sheeted witnesses, only one prosecution witness has been examined, cross-examined and discharged on 20.06.2025 and the case is at the stage of prosecution evidence now and the next date is fixed on 25.08.2025.

8. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, this Court does not find any new/fresh ground for reconsidering the bail application of the petitioner.

9. In that view of the matter, the present bail petition is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

