

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57209 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-862 Year-2021 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Anish Kumar @ Anish Kumar Singh S/O Ramdeo Singh R/o Village-Garve,
P.S.-Kargahar, District- Rohtas, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
2. Ranjan Kumar S/O Rangbahadur Singh R/O Vill.- Semrideo, P.s.- Kargahar,
Dist.- Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Prakash Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 02-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 342, 384 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. As per complaint case, on 19.01.2021, when
complainant was on his way to civil court, all the accused
persons, including this petitioner, forcibly took him in a vehicle
and demanded Rs. 16,00,000/- as extortion and took his
signature on plain paper. It is further alleged that on 13.2.2021,
when complainant was going to deposit cheque in Sri Finance,
the accused persons snatched away the same. Again on
05.12.2021, the accused persons entered the house of



complainant and assaulted him and his family members and took away Rs. 50,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. As a matter of fact, complainant took loan of Rs. 8 lacs from the petitioner for purchasing land on assurance that he would return entire money after six months but the same was not returned to the petitioner. On repeated demands, complainant issued cheque in the name of petitioner but the same was dishonoured due to insufficiency of fund for which petitioner filed a Complaint Case No. 785 of 2023 and in order to create defence in the aforesaid case this false and concocted case has been lodged. F.I.R. has been lodged after inordinate delay of about one year which itself raises doubt over veracity of the prosecution case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioner in the event of his arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Complaint Case No. 862
of 2021, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2)
of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

