

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58628 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2016 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

Bouna Pandit @ Vagesh Pandit S/o Late Budhu Pandit R/o Village- Lourgaya,
P.S.- Gouradih, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md.Najmul Hodda, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 21-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Amarpur P.S. Case No. 110 of 2016, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 3, 4 and 5 of the Explosive
Substances Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, on the night
of 05-04-2016, around 11-12 PM, a bomb explosion injured co-
accused Manoj Kumar Singh. As per the prosecution case
Manoj was making bombs near the river with co-accused
Mahesh Singh, Nandan Singh and others when the explosion
took place. His associates fled from the spot, leaving him
injured, and Manoj managed to return home on his own.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused, namely, Manoj Kumar Singh and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is next submitted that there is no eye witness to the said occurrence, no substantive evidence against and no specific allegation has been levelled against the petitioner. The allegation attributed against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner is in custody since 03.03.2025 and has got one criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that similarly situated co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 08.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 19237 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, claim based on parity also also taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 110 of 2016.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

