

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61217 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-207 Year-2023 Thana- BIHRA District- Saharsa

Satrughan Yadav @ Shatrughan Yadav, S/o Late Bindeshwari Prasad Yadav,
R/o Village- Bhatrandha, P.S.- Ghilat, Dist.- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Sinha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 14-11-2025 Heard Mr. Binod Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, learned APP for the

State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Bihra P.S. Case No. 207 of 2023 dated 12.11.2023 registered for
the offences punishable under sections 364, 302, 120B and 201
of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The main submissions advanced by petitioner's
counsel are that this is the second attempt of the petitioner to get
the relief of bail and his first attempt for the same relief was
rejected by this Court vide order dated 22.11.2024 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 36628/2024, giving him a liberty to renew his bail
prayer after six months if no significant progress is made in his
trial and in the light of the said liberty, he has renewed his



prayer. Though eight prosecution witnesses have been examined in the petitioner's trial but his trial is still running for the examination of the remaining prosecution witnesses and a period of about one year has passed after the rejection of his earlier prayer, but even then, his trial has not been concluded. It is further submitted that one co-accused Prakash Kumar, carrying similar nature of allegation, has been granted bail on his second attempt by this Bench vide order dated 26.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 56949/2025. It is further submitted that as per the information, seven material witnesses of the prosecution have not supported the case of the prosecution and went hostile and further, the remaining witnesses of the prosecution are not material, so, the release of the petitioner on bail at this stage will not affect the prosecution adversely and the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 13.11.2023.

4. Though learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer of the petitioner but fairly accepted that seven prosecution witnesses have been declared hostile.

5. Considering the aforesaid submissions and mainly the petitioner's custody period and the status of his trial, as stated above, this Court is now inclined to release him on bail. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above be released on bail



on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned in connection with Bihra P.S. Case No. 207 of 2023.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

