

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56099 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2025 Thana- RASULPUR District- Saran

Munna Singh @ Shailesh Kumar Singh, S/o Nagendra Singh, R/o Village-
Lakath Chapara, P.S.- Rasulpur, District- Saran (Chapara)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nalin Vilochan Tiwary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, there is recovery of 10
litres of country made liquor from a bag which was carrying by
the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case on the basis of his criminal antecedents. He has five
criminal antecedents of which three are of similar nature and
in all cases he is on bail. He has no concern with the seized
liquor. Nothing incriminating was recovered from the
conscious possession of the petitioner. It is further submitted
that in the seizure list recovery is made from near the *dalan*
of the petitioner. He is in custody since 23.06.2025.



Petitioner undertakes to co-operate in the trial of the case.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 2nd Exclusive Special Excise Judge, Saran at Chapra, in connection with Rasulpur P.S. Case No. 113 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

(1) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself.

(2) Petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidence, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

