

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.523 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11868 of 2021

=====

Shahjahan Begum Daughter of Fateh Alam, Wife of Jahangir Ahmad,
Resident of Village at- Sareya Ward No. 4, P.S Gopalganj Town, District-
Gopalganj.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Human Resources Development, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Human Resources Development, New Delhi.
3. The Additional Secretary, Human Resources Development, New Delhi.
4. The Additional Secretary, Department of School Education and Literacy, Ministry of Human resources Development, Government of India, Shashtri Bhawan, New Delhi.
5. The State of Bihar, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
8. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
9. The Examination Controller (Vividh), Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
10. The Director State Council of Education Research and Training (SCERT) Mahendru, Patna-6
11. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran Division Saran at Chapra.
12. The District Education Officer, Gopalganj.
13. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gopalganj.
14. The Block Education Officer, Kuchaikote Block, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Javed Aslam, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Shilpa Singh, GA-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER



(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

6 05-08-2025 Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the instant appeal be disposed of in terms of the judgment dated 20.03.2024 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in L.P.A. No. 748 of 2022, titled as ***Bibi Sakina Khatoon and Ors. vs. The Union of India and Ors.*** and analogous cases.

2. The learned counsel for the respondents-State does not make any objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the appellant.

3. The learned counsel for the appellant also submits that the facts and issues involved in this Letters Patent Appeal to be identical to L.P.A. No. 748 of 2022 and the learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not dispute it. As such, the instant appeal stands disposed of and the directions contained therein shall also govern the instant case.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Sujit/Anand

U			
---	--	--	--

