

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58548 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2025 Thana- JOGAPATTI District- West Champaran

Rishi Lal Sharma S/o Late Kailash Sharma R/o Dumri Tola, Bakahi, P.S.-
Yogapatti, District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 109 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and on account of
dispute relating to window, an altercation had taken place and
the petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the informant by *farsa*
causing injury on his head thereafter other accused are alleged
to have assaulted the informant on different parts of the body.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submits that on a trivial issue, an altercation had taken
place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is further



submitted that though in the FIR, it is alleged that petitioner assaulted the informant by *farsa* causing injury on his head but then from perusal of the injury report, it would manifest that the injury on the head of the informant is opined to be simple, while swelling on hand is opined to be grievous. It is next submitted that even the blow was not repeated and petitioner is not alleged to have assaulted on the hand of the informant. It is also submitted that even the blow on the head was not repeated and petitioner is not a criminal and the wound on the head is lacerated and not incised and thus it appears that the same was not inflicted by a sharp edged weapon.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Yogapatti P.S.



Case No. 86 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down
under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

