

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61684 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-731 Year-2021 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Surendra Prajapati S/O Late Mala Kumhar Resident of Village- Baraon, P.S-
Nokha, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1 . The State of Bihar
2. Arvind Kumar Ram @ Arvind Prasad S/O Chandradeo Ram R/O Village-
Baraon, P.S- Nokha, Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State .

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for

the offence punishable under section 420 and 504 of IPC .

3 . As per the prosecution case, complainant, namely
Arvind Kumar, alleged that this petitioner transferred his land in
favour of one Bhagwat Prajapati, who had transferred the same
land in favour of the complainant in the year 2008, but again
this petitioner, having knowledge of his earlier alienation,
fraudulently transferred the same land to other person to take
huge consideration money and thus, cheated the complainant.
When complainant asked this petitioner regarding the aforesaid



occurrence , then petitioner abused complainant. Accordingly ,
the present complaint was lodged.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as
alleged. Petitioner has got no concern with the aforesaid
occurrence . The dispute involved in this case is purely civil in
nature . Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5 . Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer
for bail and submitted that there is a specific allegation against
this petitioner that he transferred the land of the complainant to
other person with the intention to earn huge money, despite
knowing the fact that the land in question is in peaceful
possession of the complainant, and thus, he created trouble and
loss to the complainant. Witnesses have also supported the
prosecution case .

6. Considering the nature of accusation and gravity of
offence , prayer for pre-arrest bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

